

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,716	LABARBERA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maria B. Marvich, PhD	1633	

All Participants:

(1) Maria B. Marvich, PhD.

(2) Scott Conneely.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Use of the term "about" in describing the location of the primer binding sites was discussed. This limitation is met by Klesich et al as Kleisch et al teach that the primers bind to the extracellular domain which is adjacent to the translation start and hence within "about" 50 nucleotides of the translation initiation site. As well, Sloostra and Roubos teach a primer that binds to the translation initiation site, hence within about 50 nucleotides of the translation initiation site.